

FACTORS INFLUENCING SUCCESS OF IMMEDIATELY-LOADED IMPLANTS IN DIABETIC PATIENTS Sergio Varela Kellesarian¹, Hans Malmstrom¹, Georgios E. Romanos² & Fawad Javed¹ ¹ Department of General Dentistry, Eastman Institute for Oral Health, University of Rochester, ² Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Medicine, Stony Brook University

Introduction

Placement of dental implants in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) was previously avoided due to the increased risk of delayed healing, microvascular complications, tissue damage and infections in these patients. However, under optimal glycemic control, dental implants can osseointegrate and remain functionally and esthetically stable in patients with DM in a manner similar to non-diabetic individuals.

It has been proposed that optimal glycemic control levels may help to improve the function of osteoblasts, and retard the progression of periodontal inflammation and bone loss. **Periodontal** therapy improves periodontal status and lower glycemic levels in patients with type-2 DM by reducing the systemic burden of inflammatory mediators that aggravate the existing metabolic disorder in patients with hyperglycemia.

It is hypothesized that routine peri-implant hygiene maintenance reduces hyperglycemia and clinical and radiographic peri-implant parameters around immediately loaded dental implants placed in type 2 diabetic patients with varying glycemic levels.

Objective

The aim of the present 2-year follow-up study was to assess the effect of oral hygiene maintenance on HbA1c levels and peri-implant parameters around immediately loaded dental implants placed in type-2 diabetic patients with varying glycemic levels.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patients diagnosed with type-2 DM
- Measurement of Hb1Ac levels
- Measurement of periodontal parameters

PARTICIPANTS

- Ninety-one partially edentolous male patients
- Participants receiving one-piece implants

GROUPING

- Group 1: 30 healthy patients, Hb1Ac < 6%
- Group 2: 30 T2DM patients, Hb1Ac 6.1% 8%
- Group 3: 31 T2DM patients. Hb1Ac 8.1%-10%

HEMOGLOBIN A1C LEVELS

- Measurement at baseline, 6, 12 and 24 months

SURGICAL PROTOCOL

- Bone level implants
- Crestal bone level in anterior maxilla
- Implants immediate loaded after surgery

NON-SURGICAL PERIODONTAL THERAPY AND ORAL HYGIENE INSTRUCTIONS. - Enrollment in a 6 monthly periodontal/periimplant maintenance program

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- Kruskal-Wallis test
- Bonferroni post hoc test

Methods

Number of participants (*n*) Mean age in years (range) Preoperative mean hemoglobin A1c (range)



Fig. 1. Box plots showing the median hemoglobin A1c levels among patients in groups 1, 2, and 3 after 6, 12, and 24 months of follow-up. *In Group-2, there was a significant decrease in HbA1c levels at 24months follow-up as compared to 6-months follow-up (P = 0.01). †In Group-3, there was a significant decrease in HbA1c levels at 24- months follow-up as compared to 6-months follow-up (P = 0.003)

	Bleeding on probing			Probing depth			Marginal bone loss (in mm)		
Follow-up	6	12	24	6	12	24	6	12	24
	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Group-1	0.42 ± 0.05	0.4 ± 0.02	0.4 ± 0.06	2±0.5	1.9 ± 0.04	1.6 ± 0.05	0.33±0.1	0.45 ± 0.06	0.46±0.16
Group-2	0.63±0.06	0.6 ± 0.04	0.62 ± 0.07	2.5±0.18	2.3±0.26	2.3±0.15	0.52 ± 0.02	0.54±0.12	0.58±0.15
Group-3	0.71±0.05	0.63 ± 0.02	0.62 ± 0.05	3.3±0.21	2.4±0.35	2.3±0.62	0.55 ± 0.06	0.57 ± 0.07	0.59 ± 0.2

0, 12 anu 24 monus i

maintenance hygiene reduces Oral hyperglycemia and peri-implant inflammatory parameters around immediately loaded dental implants placed in type 2 diabetic patients.



	Results	
Group-1	Group-2	Group-3
30	30	31
48.5 (45-52)	50.1 (46-55)	50.5 (45-59)
4.5%	6.8%	8.7%
(4.1-5.4)	(6.4-8)	(8.2-9.7)

Table 1: Number of participants, mean age and hemoglobin A1c-levels in the study groups.

Conclusion